

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/764,778		01/17/2001	Jean-Yves Sireau	23677/15:1	7436	
3528	7590	03/22/2005		EXAMINER		
STOEL			PATEL, JAGDISH			
900 SW I SUITE 2		VENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PORTLA		R 97204		3624		
				DATE MAILED: 03/22/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application N	o. /	Applicant(s)				
K		09/764,778	09/764,778 SIREAU, JEAN-YVI		VES			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	/	Art Unit				
		JAGDISH PA	TEL 3	3624	<u> </u>			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communi	cation appears on the co	er sheet with the cor	rrespondence ad	dress			
A SHO THE N - Exten after: - If the - If NO - Failui Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THE PROPERTY OF T	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, h unication. )) days, a reply within the statutory tutory period will apply and will exp will, by statute, cause the applicatic	owever, may a reply be timely minimum of thirty (30) days w ire SIX (6) MONTHS from the in to become ABANDONED	y filed vill be considered timel e mailing date of this c (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.			
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on <i>17 January</i> 2001.						
•	•	2b)⊠ This action is non-	inal.	•				
·								
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-49 is/are pending in the a	pplication.						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
·	Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
-	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
·	Claim(s) <u>1-49</u> are subject to restriction	on and/or election require	ement.		,			
Applicati	on Papers							
9)□ :	The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.						
•	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
. • / 🗀	Applicant may not request that any object							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including				FR 1.121(d).			
11) 🔲	The oath or declaration is objected to		•					
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim of All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority  2. Certified copies of the priority  3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation of the attached detailed Office actions.	documents have been re documents have been re of the priority documents nal Bureau (PCT Rule 1	eceived. eceived in Application have been received 7.2(a)).	n No I in this National	Stage			
			,					
Attachmen	• •							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) TO 048)	Interview Summary (F Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
3) Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date		Notice of Informal Pat		O-152)			

Art Unit: 3624

## DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-18 and 38-46, drawn to a system and a machine being operable to calculate fixed odds for an outcome of a financial market based on parameters input by a user relating to the fixed-odds bet and data obtained from a source of data concerning the financial market.
- II. Claims 19-37 are drawn to a method and a product of operating a fixed-odds betting system comprising (a processing machine) calculating a fixed-odds price for a bet based on parameters input by a user relating the bet and data obtained from a source of data concerning the financial market.
- III. Claims 47-49 are drawn to a method of operating a betting system comprising identifying at least one successful bettor whose predictions are consistently similar to the outcomes of events to which the predictions made by multiple bettors relate.

Art Unit: 3624

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions II and I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the process of invention II can be practiced by another materially different apparatus, namely a central processing machine calculating a fixed-odds price for a bet and the apparatus of invention I can be used to practice another and materially different process namely for calculating a fixed odds for an outcome of the financial market. It is noted that the apparatus claims 36 and 37 corresponds to method claims 19-34 and are also rendered distinct as per this analysis.
- 3. Inventions I (apparatus) and III (process) are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process.

  (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the process of invention III

Art Unit: 3624

can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, namely identifying at least one successful bettor whose predictions are consistently similar to the outcomes of events to which the predictions made by multiple bettors relate and the apparatus of invention I can be used to practice another and materially different process namely for calculating a fixed odds for an outcome of the financial market.

Page 4

- 4. Inventions II and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention II has separate utility such as calculating a fixed-odds price for a bet based on parameters input by a user relating the bet and data obtained from a source of data concerning the financial market. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Groups II and III, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

7. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed inventions I and II respectively.

Page 5

8. In the event that the applicant elects invention I, election of one of the following patentably distinct species is required.

Species I-A: betting system and apparatus comprising a payment system and an accounting system.

Species I-B: betting system and apparatus comprising a system for varying an amount of virtual money assigned to user in response to the fixed odds bet and outcome of the financial market.

Species I-C: betting system and apparatus comprising a storage facility for storing information relating to the fixed odds further comprising means to retrieve the information and means to calculate price at which to offer previously placed bet from a user.

9. In the event that the applicant elects invention II, election of one of the following patentably distinct species is required.

Species II-A: method and apparatus that calculates future volatility of the financial market.

Art Unit: 3624

Species II-B: method and apparatus that checks opening time of the financial market.

Species II-C: method and apparatus that calculates correlation matrix concerning the financial markets.

Species II-D: method and apparatus that calculates hedging factor based on information concerning the previously placed bets stored in a storage facility.

Species II-E: method and apparatus that calculates price at which to offer to buy a previously placed bet from a user.

No species are indicated for invention III based upon the analysis of claim 49 as improper dependent claim encompassing two different statutory classes (method and system).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Claims 1 and 19 are generic to respective species discussed above.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are

Art Unit: 3624

generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

10. A telephone call was made to Att. Ferris, Kassim (Reg. 39,974) on 3/14/05 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be

Art Unit: 3624

examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

## Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JAGDISH PATEL whose telephone number is (703)308-7837. The examiner can normally be reached on 800AM-600PM M-Th.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent Millin can be reached on (703)308-1065. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 3624

Jagdish N. Patel

(Primary Examiner, AU 3624)

3/16/04